#### REMARKS

#### Claim Status

Claims 1-39 were pending in the present application. No additional claims fee is believed to be due. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended. Claims 20-26 and 34-38 have been canceled. Claims 8-14, 27-33 and 39 have been withdrawn as a result of an earlier restriction requirement. It is believed these changes do not involve any introduction of new matter. Consequently, entry of these changes is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 35 has been objected to for not ending with a period. Claim 35 has been canceled rendering this objection moot.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 20 - 26 and 34 - 38 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph as "being indefinite for failing to particularly and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention." The Office Action states that it is "unclear what is meant by 'essentially free of tomato flavor." Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, however, in an effort to advance prosecution Claims 20 - 26 and 34 - 38 have been canceled. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

#### Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Tinembart in view of Chu

Claim 38 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Tinembart et al. (US Patent Application No. 2002/0058683)("Tinembart") in view of Chu. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Claim 38 has been canceled rendering this rejection moot.

# Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Chu in view of Burkhalter, Sunyold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Desforges

Claims 1 – 5 and 20 – 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Chu et al. (US Publication No. 2002/0025325)("Chu") in view of Burkhalter et al (The Journal of Nutrition, 2001)("Burkhalter"), Sunvold et al (Journal of Animal Science, 1995)("Sunvold"), Fahey et al (Journal of Animal Science, 1990)("Fahey"), Sunvold (US Patent No. 5,932,258)("Sunvold '258"), Jezek et al (Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly, 1996)("Jezek"), and Desforges et al (US Patent No. 5,252,136)("Desforges"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Chu is directed to "methods and compositions both for providing protection against disease in an animal and for inducing increased intake of an orally administered vaccine by an animal." Abstract. Burkhalter is directed to an experiment "conducted to evaluate the effects of soybean hulls (SH) containing varying ratios of insoluble:soluble fiber (I;S) on nutrient digestibilities and fecal characteristics of dogs." Abstract. Sunvold discloses "two experiments were conducted to evaluate the addition of single sources and blends of dietary fibers to cat diets." Abstract. Fahey discloses the evaluation of the "optimal level of beet pulp (BP) inclusion in a meat-based dog diet and the effects of graded levels of dietary BP on fecal excretion responses and mean retention time of marked fiber in the gastrointestinal tract of the dog." Abstract. Sunvold '258 discloses that a "composition and process of use are provided to improve glucose metabolism in companion animals by controlling the postprandial glycemic response in those animals." Abstract. Jezek discloses that "[c]onsiderable quantities of dietary fibres are contained in sugar beet pulp as by products of saccharosse production." Abstract. Desforges a "sugar composition consisting of from 90 to 99% by weight sugar and form 10 to 1% by weight of a non-gelling water-soluble dietary fibre." Abstract. Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek, and Deforges, however, fail to teach the liquid compositions of the current application.

Claim 1 is directed to, *inter alia*, a liquid composition comprising beet pulp, a medicament, a flavorant, and water, wherein the liquid composition is substantially free of sugars and is adapted for use by a companion animal. Claim 15 is directed to, *inter alia*, a kit comprising a container and a liquid composition housed within the container wherein the liquid composition comprises beet pulp, a medicament, a flavorant, and Page 8 of 11

water, wherein the liquid composition is essentially free of sugars and is adapted for use by a companion animal. Claim 17 is directed to, *inter alia*, a kit comprising a compartmentalized container and one or more compositions comprising beet pulp, a medicament, a flavorant, and water wherein the composition is essentially free of sugars and is adapted for use by a companion animal. Claim 19 is directed to, *inter alia*, a kit comprising a compartmentalized container, and a first composition comprising a medicament housed in a first compartment and a composition comprising a flavorant and water housed in a compartment that is not the first compartment, and wherein the compositions are essentially free of sugars and adapted for use by a companion animal.

Chu discloses that the "present invention thus encompasses methods and compositions for the oral vaccination of healthy animals through drinking water or syrups as an aid in the prevention of disease." Para. [0032]. As best understood by Applicants, and as noted in the Office Action, Chu fails to teach the addition of beet pulp to its composition. The Office Action is utilizing the disclosures of Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges for the disclosure of beet pulp. As best understood by Applicants, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, and Sunvold '258 all disclose extruded and dried food compositions and Jezek and Deforges disclose that fibers may be obtained from beets. As best understood by Applicants, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges all fail to teach the incorporation of beet pulp into a liquid composition. Additionally, as best understood by Applicants, Deforges discloses that the "object of the present invention is to provide a sugar composition having substantially the appearance and taste of ordinary sugar, whilst providing a significant source of soluble dietary fibre." Col. 2, lines 18 - 21. As best understood by Applicants, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges, either alone or in combination, fail to teach liquid compositions comprising beet pulp wherein the compositions are essentially free of sugars and fail to teach kits comprising liquid compositions comprising beet pulp wherein the compositions are essentially free of sugars. As best understood by Applicants, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges, either alone or in combination, fail to teach kits comprising compartmentalized containers wherein a composition comprising a medicament is housed in a compartment that is separate from a compartment housing a composition comprising a flavorant, water, and is essentially free of sugars. As best understood by Applicants, the combination of Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges fail

to provide a reasonable expectation of success in arriving at the claims of the current application. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Tinembart in view of Chu. Burkhalter. Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek, and Desforges

Claims 1-7, 15-26, and 34-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Tinembart in view of Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Desforges. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

#### Tinembart is directed to a:

veterinarian preparation for fleas is described, which consists of an amount that is effective against fleas of a combination of a compound of formula (I), wherein  $R_1$  hydrogen,  $C_1$ - $C_6$ -alkyl or  $C_3$ - $C_7$ -cycloalkyl;  $R_2$  is hydrogen or  $C_1$ - $C_6$ -alkyl, and A is heterocycly which is unsubstituted or substituted once or repeatedly by identical or different halogen atoms; and a compound of formula (II), wherein X is halogen,  $X_1$  is hydrogen or halogen;  $X_2$  is hydrogen or halogen; Y is partially or completely halogenated  $C_1$ - $C_6$ -alkoxy which is interrupted by one oxygen atom, or partially or completely halogenated  $C_2$ - $C_6$ -alkenyl;  $Y_1$  is hydrogen or halogen;  $Y_2$  is hydrogen or halogen;  $Y_3$  is hydrogen or halogen;  $Y_1$  is hydrogen or  $Y_2$ - $Y_1$  is hydrogen or  $Y_2$ - $Y_3$ -alkyl; and  $Y_2$  is hydrogen or  $Y_3$ -alkyl; and a physiologically acceptable formulation excipient.

Abstract. Tinembart, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges, either alone or in combination, however, fail to teach the liquid compositions of the current application.

As best understood by Applicants, and as noted in the Office Action, Tinembart and Chu, either alone or in combination, fail to teach compositions comprising beet pulp. The Office Action is utilizing the disclosures of Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges for the disclosure of beet pulp. As best understood by Applicants, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, and Sunvold '258 all disclose extruded and dried food compositions and Jezek and Deforges disclose that fibers may be obtained from beets. As best understood by Applicants, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges all fail to teach the incorporation of beet pulp into a liquid composition. Additionally, as best understood by Applicants, Deforges discloses that the Page 10 of 11

"object of the present invention is to provide a sugar composition having substantially the appearance and taste of ordinary sugar, whilst providing a significant source of soluble dietary fibre." Col. 2, lines 18 – 21. As best understood by Applicants, Tinembart, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges, either alone or in combination, fail to teach liquid compositions comprising beet pulp wherein the compositions are essentially free of sugars and fail to teach kits comprising liquid compositions comprising beet pulp wherein the compositions are essentially free of sugars. As best understood by Applicants, Tinembart, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges, either alone or in combination, fail to teach kits comprising compartmentalized containers wherein a composition comprising a medicament is housed in a compartment that is separate from a compartment housing a composition comprising a flavorant, water, and is essentially free of sugars. As best understood by Applicants, the combination of Tinembart, Chu, Burkhalter, Sunvold, Fahey, Sunvold '258, Jezek and Deforges fail to provide a reasonable expectation of success in arriving at the claims of the current application. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

### Conclusion

This response represents an earnest effort to place the present application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as claimed from the applied references. In view of the foregoing, entry of the amendments presented herein, reconsideration of this application, and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

Sarah Ann Dressel

Registration No. 58,484

(513) 983-4371

Date: February 10, 2009 Customer No. 27752